

Place	Owner-occupied housing units												Zero or negative income						
	All Income			Less than \$20,000			\$20,000 - \$34,999			\$35,000 - \$49,999				\$50,000 - \$74,999			\$75,000 or more		
	Total Housing Units	Total Percent	Percent Burdened	housing units	Cost Burdened	Percent Cost Burdened	housing units	Cost Burdened	Percent Cost Burdened	housing units	Cost Burdened	Percent Cost Burdened		housing units	Cost Burdened	Percent Cost Burdened	housing units	Cost Burdened	Percent Cost Burdened
Auburn	28,274	16,637	58.8	843	743	88.1	1,537	920	59.9	1,824	994	54.5	3,106	1,105	35.6	9,295	840	9.0	32
Bonney Lake	6,529	5,447	83.4	211	185	87.7	267	177	66.3	405	182	44.9	958	528	55.1	3,553	259	7.3	53
Buckley	1,802	1,274	70.7	133	115	86.5	111	44	39.6	125	86	68.8	247	67	27.1	658	93	14.1	0
Carbonado	235	188	80.0	3	3	100.0	24	21	87.5	33	23	69.7	47	17	36.2	81	-	0.0	0
DuPont	3,376	1,585	46.9	54	36	66.7	50	50	100.0	138	86	62.3	199	97	48.7	1,107	93	8.4	37
Eatonville	1,139	776	68.1	34	19	55.9	89	35	39.3	91	50	54.9	171	67	39.2	391	8	2.0	0
Edgewood	3,694	2,878	77.9	104	86	82.7	221	156	70.6	195	109	55.9	388	164	42.3	1,970	250	12.7	13
Fife	3,628	1,406	38.8	120	73	60.8	76	36	47.4	128	81	63.3	235	128	54.5	834	88	10.6	16
Fircrest	2,748	1,898	69.1	187	174	93.0	249	130	52.2	136	126	92.6	374	89	23.8	936	95	10.1	0
Gig Harbor	3,690	2,210	59.9	113	113	100.0	181	125	69.1	198	108	54.5	327	108	33.0	1,391	142	10.2	0
Lakewood	24,129	10,846	45.0	685	529	77.2	1,301	735	56.5	1,382	616	44.6	2,232	711	31.9	5,037	305	6.1	209
Milton	3,051	1,789	58.6	113	68	60.2	190	130	68.4	186	65	34.9	296	92	31.1	1,004	52	5.2	0
Orting	2,460	1,903	77.4	89	74	83.1	141	58	41.1	157	92	58.6	477	214	44.9	1,039	87	8.4	0
Pacific	2,475	1,317	53.2	51	51	100.0	127	49	38.5	198	168	84.8	302	200	66.2	639	21	3.3	0
Puyallup	15,541	8,220	52.9	434	359	82.7	486	263	54.1	812	441	54.3	1,426	596	41.8	5,017	391	7.8	45
Roy	281	160	56.9	16	16	100.0	30	22	73.3	13	11	84.6	36	5	13.9	65	10	15.4	0
Ruston	458	264	57.6	16	14	87.5	25	20	80.0	29	17	58.6	46	29	63.0	148	11	7.4	0
South Prairie	98	84	85.7	18	10	55.6	0	0	0.0	4	4	100.0	18	1	5.6	44	4	9.1	0
Stellacoom	2,527	1,544	61.1	51	51	100.0	118	54	45.8	163	107	65.6	214	75	35.0	984	76	7.7	14
Summer	4,010	2,060	51.4	231	184	79.7	181	54	29.8	227	66	29.1	255	38	14.9	1,134	58	5.1	32
Tacoma	82,016	41,311	50.4	2,800	2,445	87.3	3,932	2,722	69.2	4,580	2,541	55.5	8,152	3,068	37.6	21,451	1,754	8.2	396
University Place	12,888	7,187	55.8	444	423	95.3	516	371	71.9	457	219	47.9	1,211	470	38.8	4,488	417	9.3	71
Wilkeson	183	133	72.7	6	6	100.0	2	0	0.0	11	10	90.9	46	15	32.6	67	-	0.0	1
<b>Total (for Cities)</b>	<b>205,232</b>	<b>111,117</b>	<b>54.1</b>	<b>6756</b>	<b>5,777</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>9,854</b>	<b>6,172</b>	<b>62.6</b>	<b>11,492</b>	<b>6,202</b>	<b>54.0</b>	<b>20,769</b>	<b>7,884</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>61,333</b>	<b>5,054</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>919</b>
<b>Pierce County (Excluding Cities Above)</b>	<b>107,607</b>	<b>80,496</b>	<b>74.8</b>	<b>4,593</b>	<b>3,802</b>	<b>82.8</b>	<b>6,406</b>	<b>3,522</b>	<b>55.0</b>	<b>8,043</b>	<b>3,823</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>16,014</b>	<b>5,955</b>	<b>37.2</b>	<b>44,604</b>	<b>3,966</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>836</b>
<b>Pierce County (Including Cities)</b>	<b>312,839</b>	<b>191,613</b>	<b>61.2</b>	<b>11,349</b>	<b>9,579</b>	<b>84.4</b>	<b>16,260</b>	<b>9,694</b>	<b>59.6</b>	<b>19,535</b>	<b>10,025</b>	<b>51.3</b>	<b>36,777</b>	<b>13,839</b>	<b>37.6</b>	<b>105,937</b>	<b>9,020</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>1,755</b>

Recently, Dr. Ali Modarres, Head of the UWT Urban Studies Department assembled this table for the information of local Mayors. It is a resource you can use to bolster your advocacy that cities, towns, and the county need to do more to address the high costs of housing. Find your own town on the list and study the data. Incorporate it into your own advocacy efforts and those of you organizations.

It portrays the percentage of Owner-Occupied Housing Units in each incorporated city and totals for all cities, for unincorporated Pierce County, and for the county as a whole; for all income levels and for five income levels. The data are taken from the 2017 American Community Survey, so are reasonable current. As you study this table, note that in almost all listed jurisdictions, half or more of households in the "All income" column are spending more than 50% of income on housing. For household incomes of less than \$20,000, most are spending 70% or more of income on housing. As incomes increase, fewer households are spending more than 50%; but even at the \$50,000 to \$74,999 range, you still see a few locations where more than 50% is spent on housing. Overall, many potential voters are cost-burdened.